	OPA,	Ò				7 (
PATO	MAR 1 9 20	てRANSMIT (General - I	111	Docket No. 551-P-002		
In Re	application RADEMARY	Sami Shemtov				
Applic	ation No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No.
09/8	49,635	05/04/2001	Dunwoody, Aaron M.	07277	3679	1568
Title:	Connector	for Electrical Wire C	Carrying Conduits			
Appl	itted herew	ith is: onse to the Office Act	THE UNITED STATES PAT	ENT AND TRAE	DEMARK OFFICE	<u>E.</u>
in the a	above identi	ified application.				
□ □ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	A check in the Directors describe   Character	d below.  arge the amount of  edit any overpayment  arge any additional fe  credit card. Form P <sup>-</sup> Information on this	ee required.	Credit card info		not be
Hon	word	C. Misky Signature		Dated: Marc	h 16, 2010	

Howard C. Miskin, Esq. Reg. No. 18,999 MISKIN & TSUI-YIP, LLP

CC:

1350 Broadway, Suite 802 New York, NY 10018 (212) 268-0900

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on

March 16, 2010

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Alexandra Sidiropoulos

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,635	05/04/2001	SHEMTOV, Sami	551-P-002	1568

EXAMINER					
DUNWOODY, Aaron M.					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
3679.					

## Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" on March 16, 2010

Signature:

Alexandra Sidiropoulos

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION OF DECEMBER 16, 2009

AMENDMENTS	1
Amendments to the Claims	2
REMARKS	12
STATUS OF THE CLAIMS	12
THE OFFICE ACTION	
INDEFINITENESS	
ANTICIPATION BY ALBRO	13
The Office Has Not Rejected Claims 2-16, 20-35, 37, 38, 39, and 40-45	13
The Rejection Is Not Compliant with 35 U.S.C. 132 and 37 C.F.R. 1.104(c)(2)	14
The Office has not established a Prima Facie Case of Anticipation by Albro	15
Albro Does Not Anticipate Claims 38 or 51	16
ANTICIPATION BY WALTERS	
The Office Has Not Rejected Claims 2-16, 20-35, 37, 38, 39 and 40-50	17
The Rejection Is Not Compliant with 35 U.S.C. 132 and 37 C.F.R. 1.104(c)(2)	18
The Office has not established a Prima Facie Case of Anticipation by Walters	19
Walters Does Not Anticipate Claims 2-16, 20-35, 37, 38, 39 and 40-50	19
CONCLUSION	21

'ti